	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/066,651	KIM, JOO-SEON
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2133
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>New Case</u>		
(1) Joseph D. Torres.	(3)	
(2) <u>Peter McKenna</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 June 2004	Time: <u>1pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-30		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
During a telephone conversation with Peter McKenna on 23 June prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-22 and 28-30.	2004 a provisional election was	made without traverse to
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE(S)gnature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)